Dear usmnews.net

Dear usmnews.net,

Some things just want to make you scream. 4 June 2014, <u>The Hattiesburg American</u> reported that President Bennett is expanding on one of [Martha] Saunders' idiotic wasteful boondoggles. Saunders called hers a fence. Bennett calls his a pedestrian pathway, but it's all the same waste of money.

Name withheld

Dear Name withheld,

After usmnews.net received your email, we went back and took a look at prior reports concerning these fences/pedestrian pathways.

On January 22, 2012, Ed Kemp at the <u>Hattiesburg American</u> reported:

The University of Southern Mississippi intends to build **a fence** along the Hardy Street side of its campus, with pedestrian safety cited as the key factor in the **brick-and-mortar** upgrade.

[T]he fence will be **wrought iron, with brick columns** that for most of the project will **match the brick of the Centennial Gateway entrance**, unveiled in 2010. The fence itself will be 7 feet tall, with the columns slightly taller - between 8 to 10 feet - to match the gateway height.

Physical Plant Director Chris Crenshaw was quoted as saying, "The **style and design** of the fencing and **brickwork** will blend with the **aesthetic beauty** of our campus."

Kemp also quotes President Saunders as saying "It's a very helpful device to channel pedestrians to a safe crosswalk.

(Emphasis added.)

As reported in It's not President Saunders' money. Why should she care how she spends it?

However, Commenters on Kemp's article were near unanimous in considering Dr. Saunders' project ill-considered:

#1: "I have so many concerns about these 'projects.' ..."

#2: "What a waste...."

```
#3: "I don't get this...."
```

#4: "Talk about a waste...."

#5: "maybe they can add some video cameras, search lights and razor wire to finish the look...."

Last week, MDOT Southern Transportation Commissioner Tom King delivered a \$683,560 check for yet another pedestrian walkway. Since there is no claim that Mr. King was personally contributing the funds, we are left to assume that the check was your tax dollars.

Mr. King explained to THA, "'We just feel like this particular project will further enhance our school here,' said King, a Southern Miss alumnus." Nothing in the article suggests how it would "further enhance our school" But, was what appeared to be little more than an afterthought intended to trump any concerns about the waste of money, "'It's safe,' he added later. 'That's what it's all about.'" At an estimated cost to taxpayers of nearly \$900,000 (\$683,560 of your tax dollars delivered by Mr. King and with the balance coming from tuition and tax dollars), did Dr. Bennett or Mr. King consider less costly opportunities? alternative "helpful devices to channel pedestrians"? If they did, why not report the alternatives, if they considered any? Did they ask whether this waste improves the quality of our students' educations?

Do any politicians and administrators ever wonder why citizens are fed up with administrative bloat and administrative extravagance at their expense?